

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/919,105 | 07/31/2001 | Jessica Malmborg | P01.0225 | 3462 |
| 7590 04/26/2005 · | | EXAMINER | | |
| Schiff Hardin & Waite | | | BONSHOCK, DENNIS G | |
| Patent Department Sears Tower - 6600 Floor 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2173 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/919,105 | MALMBORG, JESSICA | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dennis G. Bonshock | 2173 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to ore this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | affidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory peri | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension fee under 37 in all Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) | |
| 2. \boxtimes The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>4-4-05</u> . A brief in cor | npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b | e filed within two months of the date | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | be filed within the time period set for | orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| AMENDMENTS | | E March Control Control | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel | | TE below), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying the issues for | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | a corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | |
| NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) | | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | • | ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(| s): | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | e, timely filed amendment canceling | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an explanation of | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attached. | |

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINF
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

allowability..

13. Other: ____

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the amended claims raise new issues that have yet to be considered and would require further consideration to determine

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).